

Stultz, Mark

From: Sally Mann [sally.mann@rstarmail.com]
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2010 5:13 PM
To: Sally Mann
Cc: Stultz, Mark
Subject: Re: IDA's exempted in Fairfax and Arlington County, as well as Prince William County

Sorry Mark, one more time, I have corrected the code section below citing fairfax county's code to 118-1-6 (l) (not 1) THanks, just send the corrected body below...

On Dec 13, 2010, at 4:57 PM, Sally Mann wrote:

Mark, please sent this email, just the body below, to the stakeholders. I typed exception instead of exemption and have corrected it. Thanks, Sally

On Dec 13, 2010, at 4:53 PM, Sally Mann wrote:

The memo from the County improperly states that only Prince William County and York County have adopted IDA's, and that other jurisdictions who have adopted IDAs were towns or cities.

I do not think the County's information is correct and would ask that you send this email to all stakeholders.

For example, Fairfax County has an exemption for IDA's defined in Section 118-1-6 (l) and exempted in Section 118-2-1 (6) of their May 2007 code, which exemption states:

(b) Redevelopment, including all development within IDAs, subject to compliance with the performance criteria of Article 3 of this Chapter;

Also, Arlington County has an exemption for IDAs, driveways and storm water facilities in Section 61-7 (a) of their code adopted in 2003, which states:

(a) *Allowable development in RPAs.* Land development in RPAs may be allowed only when permitted by the county manager and if it: (i) is water-dependent; or (ii) constitutes redevelopment, or (iii) constitutes new development or redevelopment within a designated intensely developed area, or (iv) is a road or driveway crossing satisfying the conditions set forth in section 61-7(a)(3) below, or (v) is a flood control or stormwater management facility that satisfies the criteria in section 61-7(a)(4).